

# The Precise Definition of a Limit

William M. Faucette

University of West Georgia

# Outline

- 1 General Instructions
- 2 Definition of a Limit
- 3 Example 1
- 4 Finding Deltas Algebraically for Given Epsilons
- 5 Example 2
- 6 Using the Definition to Prove Theorems
- 7 Example 3

# The Precise Definition of a Limit

- As usual, you should read section 2.3 in the online textbook.
- This slideshow will give an overview and an explanation of the important concepts in the book.
- This slideshow will also include a limited number of examples.
- The main purpose of this slideshow is to give an extended explanation and clarification of the material in the text.

# Definition of a Limit

Mathematics is precise. It's based on established definitions and axioms and then uses logic to deduce results called theorems.

The idea of  $f(x)$  getting “closer and closer” to  $L$  as  $x$  gets “closer and closer” to  $c$  is imprecise. It is warm, touchy, feely.

Mathematics is not warm, touchy, feely. Mathematics is cold and prickly. We need a precise definition of limit to do mathematics.

# Definition of a Limit

## Definition

Let  $f(x)$  be defined on an open interval about  $c$ , except possibly at  $c$  itself. We say that the **limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $c$  is the number  $L$** , and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L,$$

if, for every number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a corresponding number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 < |x - c| < \delta.$$

What this means is this: If you give me a number  $\epsilon > 0$  that is how close you want  $f(x)$  to be from  $L$ , then I can give you a corresponding number  $\delta > 0$  so that for all numbers  $x$  within a distance of  $\delta$  from  $c$ , but  $x \neq c$ , then the value of  $f(x)$  is within  $\epsilon$  of  $L$ .

## Example 1

### Example

Show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (3x - 1) = 5.$$

### Solution

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Let  $\delta = \epsilon/3$ . Suppose  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - L| &= |(3x - 1) - 5| = |3x - 6| \\ &= |3(x - 2)| = 3|x - 2| \\ &< 3 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ —namely  $\epsilon/3$ —so that whenever  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ , the distance from  $3x - 1$  to 5 is less than  $\epsilon$ .

# Finding Deltas Algebraically for Given Epsilons

## How to Find Algebraically a $\delta$ for a given $f$ , $L$ , $c$ , and $\epsilon > 0$

- 1 Solve the inequality  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$  to find an open interval  $(a, b)$  containing  $c$  on which the inequality holds for all  $x \neq c$ . Note that we do not require the inequality to hold at  $x = c$ .
- 2 Find a value of  $\delta > 0$  that places the open interval  $(c - \delta, c + \delta)$  centered at  $c$  inside the interval  $(a, b)$ . The inequality  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$  will hold for all  $x \neq c$  in this  $\delta$ -interval.

## Example 2

### Example

For the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 13} \sqrt{x - 4} = 3$ , find a  $\delta > 0$  that works for  $\epsilon = 1$ .

### Solution

We want  $|\sqrt{x - 4} - 3| < 1$ . We do a bit of algebra:

$$|\sqrt{x - 4} - 3| < 1$$

$$-1 < \sqrt{x - 4} - 3 < 1$$

$$2 < \sqrt{x - 4} < 4$$

$$4 = 2^2 < x - 4 < 4^2 = 16$$

$$8 < x < 20$$

$$8 < 13 - 4 < x < 13 + 4 < 20.$$

So, we can take  $\delta = 4$ . As long as  $0 < |x - 13| < 4$ , then  $|\sqrt{x - 4} - 3| < 1$ .

## Using the Definition to Prove Theorems

The purpose for having a precise definition of limit is so we can prove theorems—such as the Limit Laws presented earlier—to facilitate computations of limits.

The fact that the limit of a constant is that constant, the limit of a sum is the sum of the limits, the limit of a difference is the difference of the limits, the limit of a product is the product of the limits, the limit of a quotient is the quotient of the limits (provided the denominator doesn't go to zero), etc., are all theorems which must be proven to be valid.

## Example 3

### Example

Given that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$ , prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f(x) - g(x)) = L - M.$$

### Solution

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We want

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - g(x) - (L - M)| &< \epsilon \\ |(f(x) - L) - (g(x) - M)| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We know we can make  $f(x)$  close to  $L$  and  $g(x)$  close to  $M$ . This is the key.

# Using the Definition to Prove Theorems

## Solution

Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ , we can choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  so that whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ ,  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon/2$ .

Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$ , we can choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  so that whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$ ,  $|g(x) - M| < \epsilon/2$ .

Let  $\delta$  be the smaller of  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ .

Then whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon/2$  and  $|g(x) - M| < \epsilon/2$ .

# Using the Definition to Prove Theorems

## Solution

Let  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - g(x) - (L - M)| &= |f(x) - M - (g(x) - M)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - M| + |g(x) - M| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

*This proves the theorem.*