

Commutative Algebra in Algebraic Geometry

Localization

William M. Faucette

University of West Georgia

Outline

- 1 Fractions
- 2 Hom and Tensor
- 3 The Construction of Primes
- 4 Rings and Modules of Finite Length

Table of Contents

- 1 Fractions
- 2 Hom and Tensor
- 3 The Construction of Primes
- 4 Rings and Modules of Finite Length

A set U is multiplicatively closed if any product of elements of U is in U , including the “empty product” $= 1$.

Given a ring R , an R -module M , and a multiplicatively closed subset $U \subset R$, we define the **localization of M at U** written $M[U^{-1}]$ or $U^{-1}M$, to be the set of equivalence classes of pairs (m, u) with $m \in M$ and $u \in U$ with equivalence relation $(m, u) \sim (m', u')$ if there is an element $v \in U$ such that $v(u'm - um') = 0$ in M . The equivalence class of (m, u) is denoted m/u .

We make $M[U^{-1}]$ into an R -module by defining

$$\frac{m}{u} + \frac{m'}{u'} = \frac{u'm + um'}{uu'} \text{ and } r \left(\frac{m}{u} \right) = \frac{(rm)}{u}$$

for $m, m' \in M$, $u, u' \in U$, and $r \in R$.

The localization comes equipped with a natural map of R -modules $M \rightarrow M[U^{-1}]$ carrying m to $m/1$.

If we apply the definition in the case $M = R$, the resulting localization is a ring, with multiplication defined by

$$\left(\frac{r}{u}\right) \left(\frac{r'}{u'}\right) = \frac{rr'}{uu'}$$

and in fact $M[U^{-1}]$ is an $R[U^{-1}]$ -module with action defined by

$$\left(\frac{r}{u}\right) \left(\frac{m}{u'}\right) = \frac{rm}{uu'}$$

for $r \in R$, $m \in M$, and $u, u' \in U$.

Proposition

Let U be a multiplicatively closed set of R , and let M be an R -module. An element $m \in M$ goes to 0 in $M[U^{-1}]$ (that is, $m/1 = 0$) if and only if m is annihilated by an element $u \in U$. In particular, if M is finitely generated, then $M[U^{-1}] = 0$ if and only if M is annihilated by an element of U .

As a first example, the quotient field of an integral domain R , which we shall denote $K(R)$, is the localization $R[U^{-1}]$ where $U = R \setminus \{0\}$.

The most useful analogue for an arbitrary ring R is to take U to be the set of nonzerodivisors of R , and define the **total quotient ring** $\mathbf{K}(R)$ of R by $K(R) := R[U^{-1}]$. The ring $K(R)$ is the “biggest” localization of R such that the natural map $R \rightarrow R[U^{-1}]$ is an injection.

An ideal $P \subset R$ is prime if and only if $R \setminus P$ is multiplicatively closed set. Localization at such a multiplicative set has its own notation. If P is a prime ideal and $U = R \setminus P$, then we write R_P for $R[U^{-1}]$. Similarly, for any R -module M , we write M_P for $M[U^{-1}]$.

We write $\kappa(P)$ for the ring R_P/P_P , the **residue class field of R at P** .

For example, if R is a domain, so that 0 is a prime ideal, then the quotient field of R is $K(R) = R_0 = \kappa(0)$.

The local ring of an affine variety X at a point $x \in X$ may be defined as follows. If R is the affine coordinate ring of X , and $P \subset R$ is the ideal of functions vanishing at x , then the **local ring of X at x** , obtained from R by inverting all the functions that do not vanish at x , is the ring R_P .

If $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a map of R -modules, then there is a map of $R[U^{-1}]$ -modules

$$\varphi[U^{-1}] : M[U^{-1}] \rightarrow N[U^{-1}]$$

that takes m/u to $\varphi(m)/u$, called the **localization of φ** .

This makes localization into a functor from the category of R -modules to the category of $R[U^{-1}]$ -modules.

If $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is any homomorphism of rings with the elements of U going to units, then the elements $\varphi(r)\varphi(u)^{-1} \in S$ must satisfy the same relations as those imposed on the fractions r/u above. Thus, for any such φ there is a uniquely defined extension to a homomorphism $\varphi' : R[U^{-1}] \rightarrow S$. This is the **universal property** of localization.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \varphi' \\
 & R[U^{-1}] &
 \end{array}$$

Proposition

Any ideal in $R[U^{-1}]$ is the extension of an ideal in R .

An ideal in R is the contraction of an ideal in $R[U^{-1}]$ if and only if $R \cap U = \emptyset$.

This gives a one-to-one correspondence between ideals in $R[U^{-1}]$ and ideals in R disjoint from U .

Corollary

A localization of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.

Proof.

If $I \subset R[U^{-1}]$ is an ideal, then $I = \varphi^{-1}(I)R[U^{-1}]$, so I is generated by the images in $R[U^{-1}]$ of the set of generators of $\varphi^{-1}(I)$. If R is Noetherian, then $\varphi^{-1}(I)$ is finitely generated, so I is too. □

Table of Contents

- 1 Fractions
- 2 Hom and Tensor
- 3 The Construction of Primes
- 4 Rings and Modules of Finite Length

If M and N are R -modules, then we write $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ for the abelian group of homomorphism from M to N .

$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is naturally an R -module by the definition

$$(r\varphi)(m) := r\varphi(m) = \varphi(rm) \text{ for } r \in R \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N).$$

Properties of $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$

- ① $\text{Hom}_R(R, N) \cong N$ by the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(1)$.
- ② Hom is functorial in the sense that if $\alpha : M' \rightarrow M$ and $\beta : N \rightarrow N'$ are homomorphisms, then there is an induced homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M', N'); \quad \varphi \mapsto \beta\varphi\alpha.$$

This homomorphism is often denoted by $\text{Hom}_R(\alpha, \beta)$; or if β is the identity map of N , by $\text{Hom}_R(\alpha, N)$ (and similarly when α is the identity map of M .)

Properties of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$

- ③ Hom takes direct sums in the first variable and direct products in the second variable to direct products, in the sense that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\oplus_i M_i, N) = \prod_i \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, N);$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \prod_j N_j) = \prod_j \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N_j).$$

- ④ The covariant functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, —)$ is a left-exact functor. If

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$$

is an exact sequence, then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C).$$

is an exact sequence.

- 5 The contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, N)$ is a left-exact functor. If

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, N).$$

is an exact sequence.

If M , N , and P are R -modules, then a **bilinear map** from $M \times N$ to P is a map of sets $\psi : M \times N \rightarrow P$ which is R -linear in the first entry and the second entry. That is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(am + a'm', n) &= a\psi(m, n) + a'\psi(m', n) \\ \psi(m, bn + b'n') &= b\psi(m, n) + b'\psi(m, n')\end{aligned}$$

Bilinear maps can be interpreted in terms of ordinary maps of R -modules by introducing a new module, the **tensor product** $M \otimes_R N$.

The definition of the tensor product is somewhat opaque, but what is important is its **universal property**. First, there is a natural bilinear map from $\nu : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ given by $\nu(m \times n) \mapsto m \otimes n$. Second, for any bilinear map $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow P$, there is a unique R -module homomorphism $\varphi' : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow P$ so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P \\ \nu \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \varphi' & \\ M \otimes_R N & & \end{array}$$

Properties of $M \otimes_R N$

- ① For any module M we have $M \otimes_R R = R \otimes_R M = M$. Also, $M \otimes_R N = N \otimes_R M$.
- ② The tensor product is functorial in the sense that if $\alpha : M' \rightarrow M$ and $\beta : N' \rightarrow N$ are homomorphisms, then there is an induced homomorphism

$$\alpha \otimes \beta : M' \otimes_R N' \rightarrow M \otimes_R N;$$

that sends $m' \otimes n'$ to $\alpha(m') \otimes \beta(n')$.

Properties of $M \otimes_R N$

- ③ The tensor product preserves direct sums in the sense that if $M = \oplus_i M_i$, then $M \otimes_R N = \oplus_i (M_i \otimes_R N)$.
- ④ The tensor product is a **right-exact functor**. If

$$M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, then

$$M' \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M'' \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence.

If A and B are both R -algebras, then the A -module $A \otimes_R B$ is naturally an R -algebra too, with multiplication $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (ac) \otimes (bd)$.

This algebra is constructed as follows.

Given commutative R -algebras A and B with maps $\alpha : A \rightarrow R$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow R$, the map $A \times B \rightarrow R$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto (\alpha(a), \beta(b))$ is bilinear, so it induces a homomorphism $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow R$, thus making $A \otimes_R B$ a R -algebra with a map taking $a \otimes b$ to $\alpha(a)\beta(b)$.

There is a universal property of R -algebras. Given commutative R -algebra C with maps $\alpha : A \rightarrow C$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow C$, the map $A \times B \rightarrow C$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto (\alpha(a), \beta(b))$ is bilinear, so it induces a homomorphism $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow C$ of R -algebras with a map taking $a \otimes b$ to $\alpha(a)\beta(b)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R B & \longleftarrow & B \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & & C & &
 \end{array}$$

The localization of modules can be described in terms of tensor products:

Lemma

The natural map $R[U^{-1}] \otimes_R M \rightarrow M[U^{-1}]$ defined by sending $r/u \otimes m$ to rm/u is an isomorphism.

We now turn to a central property of localization called **flatness**.

Definition

An R -module F is **flat** if for every monomorphism $M' \rightarrow M$ of R -modules, the induced map $F \otimes_R M' \rightarrow F \otimes_R M$ is again a monomorphism.

Since tensor products always preserve right-exact sequences, this is the same as saying that tensoring with F preserves all exact sequences—in particular, it preserves kernels and cokernels.

Proposition

For any multiplicatively closed subset $U \subset R$, the ring $R[U^{-1}]$ is flat as an R -module; that is, localization takes submodule to submodules, and thus preserves kernels and cokernels.

Proof.

Since $R[U^{-1}] \otimes_R M' \cong M'[U^{-1}]$ and $R[U^{-1}] \otimes_R M \cong M[U^{-1}]$, this follows from the fact that localization is an exact functor. $\square$

Corollary

Localization preserves finite intersections: That is, if $M_1, \dots, M_t \subset M$ are submodules, then

$$(\cap_j M_j) [U^{-1}] = \cap_j (M_j [U^{-1}]) .$$

Proof.

The point is that intersections can be defined in terms of kernels. The submodule $\cap_i M_i$ is the kernel of the map $M \rightarrow \oplus_i M/M_i$. Now use the fact that localization is an exact functor. □

For an R -module M , the **support** of M , written $\text{Supp } M$, is defined to be the set of prime ideals such that $M_P \neq 0$. The last statement of the first proposition of this slideshow immediately gives:

Corollary

If M is a finitely generated R -module, and P is a prime ideal of R , then $P \in \text{Supp } M$ if and only if P contains the annihilator of M .

The statement that a function is zero if and only if it is zero locally at any point has as its analogue the following extremely useful lemma.

Lemma

Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module.

- ① *If $m \in M$, then $m = 0$ if and only if m goes to zero in each localization $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of M at a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R . Similarly,*
- ② *$M = 0$ if and only if $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R .*

A map being monomorphism, epimorphism, or isomorphism is a local property.

Corollary

If $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a map of R -modules, then φ is a monomorphism (or epimorphism, or isomorphism) if and only if for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R , the localized map

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}} : M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

is a monomorphism (or epimorphism, or isomorphism)

Proposition

Let R be a ring and let S be an R -algebra. If M and N are R -modules, then there is a unique S -module homomorphism

$$\alpha_M : S \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$$

that takes an element $1 \otimes \varphi \in S \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ to the S -module homomorphism $1 \otimes_R \varphi : S \otimes_R M \rightarrow S \otimes_R N$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$. If S is flat over R and M is finitely presented, the α_M is an isomorphism. In particular, if M is finitely presented, then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ localizes in the sense that the map α provides a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R[U^{-1}]}(M[U^{-1}], N[U^{-1}]) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)[U^{-1}]$$

for any subset $U \subset R$.

Table of Contents

- 1 Fractions
- 2 Hom and Tensor
- 3 The Construction of Primes**
- 4 Rings and Modules of Finite Length

The complement of a prime ideal is a multiplicatively closed subset. There is a sort of converse.

Proposition

If R is any commutative ring, $U \subset R$ a multiplicatively closed subset, and $I \subset R$ an ideal maximal among those not meeting U , then I is prime.

Proof.

If $f, g \in R$ are not in I , then, by the maximality of I , both $I + (f)$ and $I + (g)$ meet U . So, there are elements of the form $af + i$ and $bg + j$ in U with $i, j \in I$. If fg were in I , then the product of $af + i$ and $bg + j$ would be in I , contradicting the fact that I doesn't meet U . $\square$

This proposition gives a formula for the radical of an ideal. Recall that if $I \subset R$ is an ideal, then $\text{rad } I = \{f \in R \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}$.

Corollary

If I is an ideal in a ring R , then $\text{rad } I = \bigcap_{P \supset I} P$ where the intersection is over all prime ideals containing I .

In particular, the intersection of all primes of R is the radical of (0) , which is the set of all nilpotent elements of R .

Proof.

One containment is clear: If $f \in \text{rad } I$, then f is in every prime ideal containing I . Conversely, if f is not in $\text{rad } I$, then an ideal maximal among those containing I and disjoint from $\{f^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is prime, so f is not contained in this prime ideal. □

Table of Contents

- 1 Fractions
- 2 Hom and Tensor
- 3 The Construction of Primes
- 4 Rings and Modules of Finite Length**

Definition

If M is a module, then a **chain** of submodules of M is a sequence of submodules with strict inclusions

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n.$$

Such a chain is said to have **length** n .

Definition

A chain

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n.$$

is said to be a **composition series** if each M_j/M_{j+1} is a nonzero simple module. Equivalently, a composition series is a maximal chain of submodules of M .

We define the **length of M** to be the least length of a composition series for M , or ∞ if M has no finite composition series.

We shall prove that every composition series for M has the same length.

The next result, which tells something of the structure of modules of finite length, includes the Jordan-Hölder theorem for modules and the Chinese remainder theorem.

Theorem

Let R be a ring, and let M be an R -module. M has a finite composition series if and only if M is Artinian and Noetherian. If M has a finite composition series $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n = 0$ of length n , then

- ① Every chain of submodules of M has length $\leq n$, and can be refined to a composition series.
- ② The sum of the localization maps $M \rightarrow M_P$, for P a prime ideal, gives an isomorphism of R -modules

$$M \cong \bigoplus_P M_P,$$

where the sum is taken over all maximal ideals P such that some $M_i/M_{i+1} \cong R/P$. The number of M_i/M_{i+1} isomorphic to R/P is the length of M_P as a module over R_P , and is thus independent of the composition series chosen.

- ③ We have $M = M_P$ if and only if M is annihilated by some power of P .

Theorem

Let R be a ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

- ① *R is Noetherian and all the prime ideals in R are maximal.*
- ② *R is of finite length as an R -module.*
- ③ *R is Artinian.*

If these conditions are satisfied, then R has only finitely many maximal ideals.

For more on this result, see Chapter 8 in *Introduction to Commutative Algebra* by Atiyah and Macdonald.

Applying this result in a geometric context, we get:

Corollary

Let X be an affine algebraic set over a field k . The following are equivalent:

- ❶ *X is finite.*
- ❷ *$A(X)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over k , whose dimension is the number of points in X .*
- ❸ *$A(X)$ is Artinian.*

Combining Theorem 12 with Theorem 11(b), we deduce a sort of structure theorem for Artinian rings:

Corollary

Any Artinian ring is a finite direct product of local Artinian rings.

We can also characterize modules of finite length over Noetherian rings.

Corollary

Let R be a Noetherian ring, and let M be finitely generated R -module. The following are equivalent:

- ① *M has finite length.*
- ② *Some finite product of maximal ideal $\prod_{i=1}^n P_i$ annihilates M .*
- ③ *All the primes that contain the annihilator of M are maximal.*
- ④ *$R/\text{Ann}(M)$ is an Artinian ring.*

We can also characterize modules of finite length over Noetherian rings.

Corollary

Let R be a Noetherian ring, $0 \neq M$ a finitely generated R -module, I the annihilator of M , and P a prime ideal containing I . The R_P -module M_P is a nonzero module of finite length if and only if P is minimal among primes containing I .

The most useful special case of these results is where $M = R/I$ (so that in particular $I = \text{Ann}(M)$).

Corollary

Let I be an ideal in a Noetherian ring R . The following are equivalent for a prime P containing I :

- ① *P is minimal among primes containing I .*
- ② *R_P/I_P is Artinian.*
- ③ *In the localization R_P we have $P_P^n \subset I_P$ for all $n \gg 0$.*